

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,947	01/21/2004 Walter N. Blejde		29685-74363	3934
21324 75	21324 7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP			TRAN, LEN	
One GOJO Plaza Suite 300 AKRON, OH 44311-1076			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	W	<i>'</i>
dress		
DAYS,		
mmunication.		
	4	
merits is		
		 - -
	•	
R 1.121(d).		
O-152.		-
	•	
Stage		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/761,947	BLEJDE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Len Tran	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	nuarv 2006.						
·= · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7-9,11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 4,6,10 and 12 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	* '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Exc	animier. Note the attached Office	Action of 1011117 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
des the attached actured embe denotifier a net of the defining depict not received.							
·		,					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumai et al (US 4,073,643).

As to claim 1 and 7, Kumai et al disclose a method of producing thin cast strip with low surface roughness and low porosity by continuous casting comprising the steps of:

- a. assembling a pair of cooled casting rolls having a nip between them and with confining closure adjacent the ends of nip;
- b. introducing molten steel having a total oxygen content of at least 100 ppm and a free oxygen content between 30 and 50 ppm between the pair of casting rolls to form a casting pool between the casting rolls at a temperature such that a majority of the oxide inclusions formed therein are in liquidus state;
- c. counter-rotating the casting rolls and transferring heat from the molten steel

Application/Control Number: 10/761,947

Art Unit: 1725

to form metal shells on the surfaces of the casting rolls such that the shells grow to include oxide inclusions relating to the total oxygen content of the molten steel and form steel strip free of crocodile surface roughness; and

d. forming solidified thin steel strip through the nip between the casting rolls from said solidified shells (col. 2, lines 14-30 and table 4).

As to claim 2 and 8, the casting temperature is below 1600 degrees C (table 4).

As to claim 5 and 11, the carbon content in the range of 0.001 to 0.1%, Manganese in the range of 0.1 to 10%, and Si in the range of 0.01 to 10% (col. 2, lines 24-27).

As to claim 13, the aluminum content is less than 0.01 % (col. 2, line 26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumai et al (US '643), and further in view of Strezov et al (US 2003/0000679).

Kumai et al discloses the claimed invention above, but fails to teach casting rollers having a random pattern of discrete projections, having an average height of at least 20 microns and having average surface distribution of between 5 and 200 peaks per square millimeters.

However, Strezov (US '2003) discloses a casting rollers having an average height of at least 20 microns and having average surface distribution of between 5 and 200 peaks per square millimeters for the purpose of less prone to generation of chatter defects (col. 2, lines 1 to [0017]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to obvious to combine the rollers taught by Strezov (US 2003) with Kumai et al'method in order to prevent chatter defects.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,947 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4, 6, 10, and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior arts of record fail to teach having oxide inclusions comprised of MnO, SiO2, and Al2O3 distributed between 2 and 4 grams per cubic centimeter and 120 oxide inclusions per square millimeter to a depth of 2 microns.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant acknowledges in page 6, last paragraph of applicant's argument, that Kumai et al teaches total oxygen of 210 ppm and free oxygen of 35 ppm. Applicant argued that Kumai et al is not teach the method of casting a strip. However, applicant acknowledges Kumai et al further hot rolled and then cold rolled to form a cast strip. The claimed invention is not distinct over Kumai et al, since the claimed language has the term "comprising", which is open-ended. Therefore, additional steps to treat the slab into a strip as taught by Kumai et al is not excluded from the claimed invention.

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,947

Art Unit: 1725

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

April 7, 2006